

## ON THE EVALUATION OF POWERS\*

ANDREW CHI-CHIH YAO†

**Abstract.** It is shown that for any set of positive integers  $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p\}$ , there exists a procedure which computes  $\{x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \dots, x^{n_p}\}$  for any input  $x$  in less than  $\lg N + c \sum_{i=1}^p [\lg n_i / \lg \lg (n_i + 2)]$  multiplications for some constant  $c$ , where  $N = \max_i \{n_i\}$ . This gives a partial solution to an open problem in Knuth [3, § 4.6.3, Ex. 32] and generalizes Brauer's theorem on addition chains.

**Key words.** addition chains, Brauer's theorem

**1. Introduction.** An *addition chain* (of length  $r$ ) is a sequence of  $r + 1$  integers  $a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$  such that (i)  $a_0 = 1$  and (ii) for each  $i$ ,  $a_i = a_j + a_k$  for some  $j \leq k < i$ . It is clear that, for any  $r$  and any set of integers  $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p\}$ , there exists an addition chain of length  $r$  which contains the values  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$  if and only if there exists a procedure which, for any input  $x$ , computes  $\{x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \dots, x^{n_p}\}$  in  $r$  operations using only multiplications. A theorem by Brauer [1], [3, pp. 398–418] states that, for any  $n$ , there exists an addition chain of length  $\lg n + O(\lg n / \lg \lg n)$  which contains the value  $n$ ; this implies the existence of a corresponding procedure to compute  $x^n$  in  $\lg n + O(\lg n / \lg \lg n)$  multiplications. Furthermore, it was shown by Erdős [2], [3, pp. 398–418] that the above result is asymptotically with probability 1 nearly the best possible. In an open problem posed in Knuth [3, § 4.6.3, Ex. 32], it is asked if there are fast procedures to compute  $\{x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \dots, x^{n_p}\}$  for  $p \geq 2$ . This problem cannot be solved by a direct extension of the technique used by Brauer in the proof of his theorem.

In this paper we show that for any positive integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$ , there exists a procedure using only multiplications which, for any input  $x$ , computes  $\{x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \dots, x^{n_p}\}$  in  $\lg N + \text{constant} \times \sum_{i=1}^p [\lg n_i / \lg \lg (n_i + 2)]$  multiplications where  $N = \max_i \{n_i\}$ . This gives a solution to Knuth's problem and leads to a corresponding theorem on addition chains which generalizes Brauer's theorem mentioned earlier.

**2. Definition.** Let  $e_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$ , and  $f_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq q$ , be positive integers. We shall say that  $\{x^{e_1}, \dots, x^{e_p}\}$  is *computable from*  $\{x^{f_1}, \dots, x^{f_q}\}$  in  $r$  multiplications ( $r \geq 0$ ) if there exists a set of  $r$  positive integers,  $\{f_{q+1}, \dots, f_{q+r}\}$ , such that

(i) for all  $i = q + 1, \dots, q + r$ ,

$$x^{f_i} = x^{f_j} \cdot x^{f_k} \quad \text{for some } j \leq k < i.$$

(ii)  $\{x^{e_1}, \dots, x^{e_p}\} \subset \{x^{f_1}, \dots, x^{f_{q+r}}\}$ .

\* Received by the editors August 29, 1974.

† Department of Computer Science, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant GJ-41538.

<sup>1</sup>  $\lg$  is logarithm to the base 2.

Since the exponents are added when two powers of  $x$  are multiplied, the above definition is a natural generalization of the definition of addition chains (cf. § 1). The exponents appearing in  $\{x^{f_1}, \dots, x^{f_q}\}$  correspond to a set of numbers initially available in the chain, as opposed to a single number, 1, in the earlier definition.

**3. The computation of  $\{x^{n_1}, \dots, x^{n_p}\}$ .** The following lemma is well known [3, pp. 398–418].

**LEMMA 1.** *For any integer  $i > 0$ ,  $\{y^i\}$  is computable from  $\{y\}$  in at most  $2\lceil \lg i \rceil$  multiplications.*

*Proof.* Let the binary representation of  $i$  be

$$(1) \quad i = \sum_{j=0}^v b_j \cdot 2^j,$$

where  $v = \lceil \lg i \rceil$ . Then,

$$(2) \quad y^i = \prod_{b_j=1} y^{2^j}.$$

Thus, we can first compute  $y^2, y^4, y^8, \dots, y^{2^v}$  sequentially in  $v$  multiplications and then compute  $y^i$  by (2) in no more than  $v$  multiplications. The total number of multiplications is no greater than  $2v$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.** *For any integers  $m, n$  where  $0 < m \leq n$ ,  $\{x^m\}$  is computable from  $\{x, x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots, x^{2^{1+\lg n}}\}$  in less than  $c \lg n / \lg \lg(n+2)$  multiplications for some constant  $c$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $n \geq 4$ . Define the following quantities:

$$(3) \quad k = \lceil (\lg \lg n) / 2 \rceil,$$

$$(4) \quad D = 2^k,$$

$$(5) \quad t = \lceil \log_D n \rceil,$$

Let the  $D$ -ary representation of  $m$  be

$$m = \sum_{j=0}^t a_j D^j,$$

where

$$(6) \quad 0 \leq a_j \leq D - 1 \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, t.$$

We partition the set of integers  $\{0, 1, \dots, t\}$  into  $D$  disjoint subsets  $S(0), S(1), \dots, S(D - 1)$  by letting

$$S(i) = \{l \mid a_l = i\} \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, D - 1.$$

It follows from (6) that

$$(7) \quad m = \sum_{i=1}^{D-1} i \cdot \left[ \sum_{l \in S(i)} D^l \right] = \sum_{i=1}^{D-1} i \cdot m_i,$$

where

$$(8) \quad m_i = \sum_{l \in S(i)} D^l.$$

From (7) and (8), we obtain the following two equations:

$$(9) \quad x^{m_i} = \prod_{l \in S(i)} x^{D^l} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, D-1,$$

$$(10) \quad x^m = \prod_{i=1}^{D-1} (x^{m_i})^i.$$

Since all the  $x^{D^l}$  in (9) are available in the set  $\{x, x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots, x^{2^{\lfloor \lg n \rfloor}}\}$ , we can construct a procedure to compute  $x^m$  as follows.

*Step 1.* For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, D-1$  do the following:

(a) Compute  $x^{m_i}$  from (9) in fewer than  $|S(i)|$  multiplications.

(b) Compute  $(x^{m_i})^i$  in at most  $2\lfloor \lg i \rfloor$  multiplications (by Lemma 1).

*Step 2.* Compute  $x^m$  from (10) in  $D-2$  multiplications.

Let  $M$  be the total number of multiplications in the above procedure. Then,

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} M &< \sum_{i=1}^{D-1} (|S(i)| + 2\lfloor \lg i \rfloor) + D - 2 \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{D-1} |S(i)| + 2(D-1)\lg(D-1) + D - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that the  $S(i)$ 's form a partition of the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, t\}$ , we obtain from (11) that

$$(12) \quad M < t - 1 + 2(D-1)\lg(D-1) + D - 2,$$

which together with equations (3), (4) and (5), implies that

$$(13) \quad M < 2(\lg n / \lg \lg n) + 1 + 4(\lg n)^{1/2} \lg \lg n + 2(\lg n)^{1/2}.$$

It follows from (13) that there exists a constant  $c$  such that

$$(14) \quad M < c \lg n / \lg \lg(n+2).$$

Thus the theorem is true if  $n \geq 4$ . Obviously we can choose  $c$  so that the theorem is also true for  $n = 1, 2, 3$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.** For any set of positive integers  $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p\}$ ,  $\{x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \dots, x^{n_p}\}$  is computable from input  $\{x\}$  in less than  $\lg N + c \sum_{i=1}^p [\lg n_i / \lg \lg(n_i + 2)]$  multiplications for some constant  $c$ , where  $N = \max_i \{n_i\}$ .

**COROLLARY.**  $\{x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \dots, x^{n_p}\}$  is computable from  $\{x\}$  in less than  $\lg N + cp \lg N / \lg \lg(N+2)$  multiplications.

*Proof of Theorem 3 and Corollary.* First we compute  $\{x, x^2, x^4, x^8, \dots, x^{2^{\lfloor \lg N \rfloor}}\}$  from input  $x$  in  $\lfloor \lg N \rfloor$  multiplications. For each  $i$ , according to Theorem 2,  $x^{n_i}$  is computable from  $\{x, x^2, x^4, \dots, x^{2^{\lfloor \lg N \rfloor}}\}$  in  $c \lg N / \lg \lg(N+2)$  multiplications for some constant  $c$ . The theorem and corollary then follow immediately.  $\square$

In terms of addition chains, Theorem 3 and its corollary give the following generalization of Brauer's theorem [1], [3, pp. 398–418].

**THEOREM 4.** For any positive integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$ , there exists an addition chain of length less than  $\lg N + c \sum_{i=1}^p \lg n_i / \lg \lg(n_i + 2)$  containing the values  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$  for some constant  $c$ , where  $N = \max_i \{n_i\}$ .

**COROLLARY.** For positive integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$ , there exists an addition chain of length less than  $\lg N + cp \lg N / \lg \lg(N+2)$  containing  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p$ .

**4. Conclusion.** We have shown that  $\{x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \dots, x^{n_p}\}$  can be computed in  $\lg N + cp \lg N / \lg \lg(N + 2)$  multiplications for input  $x$  where  $N = \max_i \{n_i\}$  and  $c$  is a constant. On the other hand, it is well known that to evaluate  $\{x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \dots, x^{n_p}\}$  by arithmetic operations, at least  $\lg N$  operations are necessary. Thus our procedures for evaluating  $\{x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \dots, x^{n_p}\}$  are nearly the best possible when  $p \ll \lg \lg(N + 2)$ . It remains an interesting open problem to determine the complexity of computing  $\{x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \dots, x^{n_p}\}$  for general  $p$ .

*Note added in proof.* (A) By choosing the value of  $k$  in (3) more carefully, say  $k = \lceil \lg \lg n - 3 \lg \lg \lg n \rceil$ , our algorithm in Theorem 3 takes at most  $\lg N + p \lg N / \lg \lg N +$  (smaller terms) multiplications as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . For fixed  $p$ , these leading terms are almost the best possible since, as observed by Larry Stockmeyer (private communication), the lower bound of Erdős [2] can be generalized straightforwardly. (B) Nicholas Pippenger proved the following (private communication):  $\{x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \dots, x^{n_p}\}$  can be computed from  $x$  in  $\min \{(p + 2^l) \lceil \lg N / l \rceil \mid l \text{ is a positive integer}\}$  multiplications, and for some  $c_1 > 0$  and every  $N, p$ ,  $c_1 p \lg N / (\lg P + \lg \lg N)$  multiplications are needed for some set of  $\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p\}$  with  $\max \{n_i\} \leq N$ . For large  $p$  ( $p \geq \lg N$ ), this determines the worst-case complexity to be  $p \lg N / \lg p$  up to a constant factor. (C) A related theorem on power evaluation may be found in Schönhage [4].

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. BRAUER, *On addition chains*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 45 (1939), pp. 736–739.
- [2] P. ERDÖS, *Remarks on number theory—On addition chains*, Acta Arith., 6 (1960), pp. 77–81.
- [3] D. E. KNUTH, *The Art of Computer Programming*, vol. 2, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.
- [4] A. SCHÖNHAGE, *Eine untere Schranke für die Länge von Additionsketten*, preprint, 1974.