

# Solution to exercises 4 and 5

24 November 2007

I illustrate the reductions from PCP to unsatisfiability and to existence of a finite model using an example instance of PCP. It should be not difficult to derive the general construction from that.

Consider the following PCP-instance

$$V = (a, bc, ba), \quad W = (ab, bc, a).$$

It has a single solution  $(1, 3)$ , which results in the word  $(aba)$ .

## Reduction to Unsatisfiability

If you know Prolog, or resolution, the construction is very easy to understand.

We use the following symbols:

- A constant  $\lambda$ , denoting the empty word.
- For each letter  $x$  in the alphabet, a unary function symbol  $x$ .
- A constant  $0$ , and a unary function  $s$ .
- A ternary predicate symbol  $p$ .

Then we translate the problem into:

$$p(\lambda, \lambda, 0),$$

$$\forall xyn \ p(x, y) \rightarrow p(a(x), b(a(y)), s(n)),$$

$$\forall xyn \ p(x, y) \rightarrow p(c(b(x)), c(b(y)), s(n)),$$

$$\forall xyn \ p(x, y) \rightarrow p(a(b(x)), a(y), s(n)),$$

$$\forall xn \ \neg p(x, x, s(n)).$$

First prove  $p(\lambda, \lambda, 0)$ , then  $p(a(\lambda), b(a(\lambda)), s(0))$ , then  $p(a(b(a(\lambda))), a(b(a(\lambda))), s(s(0)))$ , and after that  $\perp$ .

## Reduction to Existence of Finite Model

We use the following symbols:

- A unary predicate  $\lambda$ , denoting the empty word.
- For each letter  $x$  in the alphabet, a binary function symbol  $x$ .

- A binary predicate symbol  $p$ .
- We first set a starting point:

$$\exists x p(x, x) \wedge \lambda(x).$$

- Then comes the main translation:

$$\text{Let } \Phi(x, y) := p(x, y) \wedge (x \neq y \vee \lambda(x)).$$

$$\text{Let } C_1(x, y) := \exists x_1 y_1 y_2 a(x, x_1) \wedge a(y, y_1) \wedge b(y_1, y_2) \wedge p(y_1, y_2)$$

$$\text{Let } C_2(x, y) := \exists x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2 b(x, x_1) \wedge c(x_1, x_2) \wedge b(y, y_1) \wedge c(y_1, y_2) \wedge p(y_1, y_2).$$

$$\text{Let } C_3(x, y) := \exists x_1 x_2 y_1 b(x, x_1) \wedge a(x_1, x_2) \wedge a(y, y_1) \wedge p(x_2, y_1).$$

The main translation is:

$$\forall xy \Phi(x, y) \rightarrow C_1(x, y) \vee C_2(x, y) \vee C_3(x, y)$$

The idea of the construction is that, when  $(c, d) \in [p]$ , and  $c \neq p$ , we are forced to select one of the  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  which will create two new points  $(c', d') \in [p]$ , s.t.  $c'$  is reachable through a chain from  $c$ , and  $d'$  is reachable through a chain from  $d$ . The chains correspond to a pair  $(v_i, w_i)$  from the original PCP problem. The only way to stop extending forever, is to reach a point  $(c'', d'') \in [p]$  with  $c'' = d''$ .

- And we ensure that every point that is reachable from a point  $x$  on which  $\lambda(x)$  holds, is reachable in only one way:

(Otherwise, we could for example start in a point  $(x, y)$  with  $x = y$ , make the chain  $b(x, x_1), a(x_1, x_2)$  end in the same point as the chain  $a(x, y_1)$ , have  $p(x_2, y_1)$ , and stop by cheating)

There is no way back to  $\lambda$  :

$$\forall x_1 x_2 a(x_1, x_2) \wedge \lambda(x_2) \rightarrow \perp,$$

$$\forall x_1 x_2 b(x_1, x_2) \wedge \lambda(x_2) \rightarrow \perp,$$

$$\forall x_1 x_2 c(x_1, x_2) \wedge \lambda(x_2) \rightarrow \perp.$$

Through different predicates  $a, b, c$  one cannot reach the same point:

$$\forall xyz a(x, z) \wedge b(y, z) \rightarrow \perp,$$

$$\forall xyz a(x, z) \wedge c(y, z) \rightarrow \perp,$$

$$\forall xyz b(x, z) \wedge c(y, z) \rightarrow \perp.$$

Through each of  $a, b, c$  one cannot arrive at the same point from different start positions:

$$\forall x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2 a(x_1, x_2) \wedge a(y_1, y_2) \wedge x_2 = y_2 \rightarrow x_1 = y_1,$$

$$\forall x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2 b(x_1, x_2) \wedge b(y_1, y_2) \wedge x_2 = y_2 \rightarrow x_1 = y_1,$$

$$\forall x_1 x_2 y_1 y_2 c(x_1, x_2) \wedge c(y_1, y_2) \wedge x_2 = y_2 \rightarrow x_1 = y_1.$$

In this case, there is a finite model, which can be described as follows:

$$D = \{d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}[\lambda] &= \{d_0\}, \\ [A] &= \{(d_0, d_1), (d_2, d_3)\}, \\ [B] &= \{(d_1, d_2)\}, \\ [C] &= \{\}, \\ [p] &= \{(d_0, d_0), (d_1, d_2), (d_3, d_3)\}.\end{aligned}$$