Numerical Analysis – Lecture 9¹

3 Ordinary differential equations

Problem 3.1 We wish to approximate the exact solution of the ordinary differential equation (ODE)

$$\mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}), \qquad t \ge 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and the function $\boldsymbol{f} : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is sufficiently 'nice'. (In principle, it is enough for \boldsymbol{f} to be Lipschitz to ensure that the solution exists and is unique. Yet, for simplicity, we henceforth assume that \boldsymbol{f} is analytic: in other words, we are always able to expand locally into Taylor series.) The equation (3.1) is accompanied by the initial condition $\boldsymbol{y}(0) = \boldsymbol{y}_0$.

Our purpose is to approximate $y_{n+1} \approx y(t_{n+1})$, n = 0, 1, ..., where $t_m = mh$ and the *time step* h > 0 is small, from $y_0, y_1, ..., y_n$ and equation (3.1).

Definition 3.2 A one-step method is a map $y_{n+1} = \varphi_h(t_n, y_n)$, i.e. an algorithm which allows y_{n+1} to depend only on t_n , y_n , h and the ODE (3.1).

Method 3.3 (Euler's method) We know \boldsymbol{y} and its slope \boldsymbol{y}' at t = 0 and wish to approximate \boldsymbol{y} at t = h > 0. The most obvious approach is to truncate $\boldsymbol{y}(h) = \boldsymbol{y}(0) + h\boldsymbol{y}'(0) + \frac{1}{2}h^2\boldsymbol{y}''(0) + \cdots$ at the h^2 term. Since $\boldsymbol{y}'(0) = \boldsymbol{f}(t_0, \boldsymbol{y}_0)$, this procedure approximates $\boldsymbol{y}(h) \approx \boldsymbol{y}_0 + h\boldsymbol{f}(t_0, \boldsymbol{y}_0)$ and we thus set $\boldsymbol{y}_1 = \boldsymbol{y}_0 + h\boldsymbol{f}(t_0, \boldsymbol{y}_0)$.

By the same token, we may advance from h to 2h by letting $y_2 = y_1 + h f(t_1, y_1)$. In general, we obtain the *Euler method*

$$\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{y}_n + h \boldsymbol{f}(t_n, \boldsymbol{y}_n), \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots.$$
(3.2)

Definition 3.4 Let $t^* > 0$ be given. We say that a method, which for every h > 0 produces the solution sequence $\boldsymbol{y}_n = \boldsymbol{y}_n(h), n = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor t^*/h \rfloor$, converges if, as $h \to 0$ and $n_k(h)h \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} t$, it is true that $\boldsymbol{y}_{n_k} \to \boldsymbol{y}(t)$, the exact solution of (3.1), uniformly for $t \in [0, t^*]$.

Theorem 3.5 Suppose that f satisfies the Lipschitz condition: there exists $\lambda \geq 0$ such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{f}(t,\boldsymbol{v}) - \boldsymbol{f}(t,\boldsymbol{w})\| \le \lambda \|\boldsymbol{v} - \boldsymbol{w}\|, \qquad t \in [0,t^*], \quad \boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Then the Euler method (3.2) converges.

Proof Let $e_n = y_n - y(t_n)$, the error at step n, where $0 \le n \le t^*/h$. Thus,

$$e_{n+1} = y_{n+1} - y(t_{n+1}) = [y_n + hf(t_n, y_n)] - [y(t_n) + hy'(t_n) + O(h^2)].$$

By the Taylor theorem, the $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ term can be bounded uniformly for all $[0, t^*]$ (in the underlying norm $\|\cdot\|$) by ch^2 , where c > 0. Thus, using (3.1) and the triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\| &\leq \|\boldsymbol{y}_n - \boldsymbol{y}(t_n)\| + h\|\boldsymbol{f}(t_n, \boldsymbol{y}_n) - \boldsymbol{f}(t_n, \boldsymbol{y}(t_n))\| + ch^2 \\ &\leq \|\boldsymbol{y}_n - \boldsymbol{y}(t_n)\| + h\lambda\|\boldsymbol{y}_n - \boldsymbol{y}(t_n)\| + ch^2 = (1 + h\lambda)\|\boldsymbol{e}_n\| + ch^2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by induction,

$$\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\| \le (1+h\lambda)^m \|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1-m}\| + ch^2 \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (1+h\lambda)^j, \qquad m = 0, 1, \dots, n+1.$$

¹Please email all corrections and suggestions to these notes to A.Iserles@damtp.cam.ac.uk. All handouts are available on the WWW at the URL http://www.damtp.cam.ac.uk/user/na/PartII/Handouts.html.

In particular, letting m = n + 1 and bearing in mind that $e_0 = 0$, we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\| \le ch^2 \sum_{j=0}^n (1+h\lambda)^j = ch^2 \frac{(1+h\lambda)^{n+1}-1}{(1+h\lambda)-1} \le \frac{ch}{\lambda} (1+h\lambda)^{n+1}.$$

But for small h > 0 it is true that $0 < 1 + h\lambda \le e^{h\lambda}$. This and $(n+1)h \le t^*$ imply that $(1+h\lambda)^{n+1} \le e^{t^*\lambda}$, therefore ړ ∗ ړ

$$\|\boldsymbol{e}_n\| \leq \frac{c e^{t-\lambda}}{\lambda} h \to 0, \qquad h \to 0, \quad \text{uniformly for} \quad 0 \leq nh \leq t^*$$

and the theorem is true.

Definition 3.6 The *order* of a general numerical method $y_{n+1} = \varphi_h(t_n, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ for the solution of (3.1) is the largest integer $p \ge 0$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{y}(t_{n+1}) - \boldsymbol{\varphi}_h(t_n, \boldsymbol{y}(t_0), \boldsymbol{y}(t_1), \dots, \boldsymbol{y}(t_n)) = \mathcal{O}(h^{p+1})$$

for all h > 0, $n \ge 0$ and all sufficiently smooth functions f in (3.1). Note that, unless $p \ge 1$, the 'method' is an unsuitable approximation to (3.1): in particular, $p \ge 1$ is necessary for convergence.

Remark 3.7 (The order of Euler's method) We now have $\varphi_h(t, y) = y + hf(t, y)$. Substituting the exact solution of (3.1), we obtain from the Taylor theorem

$$\boldsymbol{y}(t_{n+1}) - [\boldsymbol{y}(t_n) + h\boldsymbol{f}(t_n, \boldsymbol{y}(t_n))] = [\boldsymbol{y}(t_n) + h\boldsymbol{y}'(t_n) + \frac{1}{2}h^2\boldsymbol{y}''(t_n) + \cdots] - [\boldsymbol{y}(t_n) + h\boldsymbol{y}'(t_n)] = \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

and we deduce that Euler's method is of order 1.

Definition 3.8 (Theta methods) We consider methods of the form

$$\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{y}_n + h[\theta \boldsymbol{f}(t_n, \boldsymbol{y}_n) + (1 - \theta) \boldsymbol{f}(t_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1})], \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
(3.3)

where $\theta \in [0, 1]$ is a parameter:

• If $\theta = 1$, we recover Euler's method.

• if $\theta \in [0,1)$ then the *theta method* (3.3) is *implicit:* Each time step requires the solution of N (in general, nonlinear) algebraic equations for the unknown vector y_{n+1} .

• The choices $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ are known as

$$\begin{split} \text{Backward Euler:} \quad & \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{y}_n + h \boldsymbol{f}(t_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}), \\ \text{Trapezoidal rule:} \quad & \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1} = \boldsymbol{y}_n + \frac{1}{2} h [\boldsymbol{f}(t_n, \boldsymbol{y}_n) + \boldsymbol{f}(t_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1})]. \end{split}$$

Solution of nonlinear algebraic equations can be done by iteration. For example, for backward Euler, letting $\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{[0]} = \boldsymbol{y}_n,$ we may use

Direct iteration
$$\boldsymbol{y}_{n+}^{|j+|}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Direct iteration} & \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{[j+1]} = \boldsymbol{y}_n + h \boldsymbol{f}(t_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{[j]});\\ \text{Newton-Raphson:} & \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{[j+1]} = \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{[j]} - \left[I - h \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}(t_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{[j]})}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}\right]^{-1} [\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{[j]} - \boldsymbol{y}_n - h \boldsymbol{f}(t_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{[j]})];\\ \text{Modified Newton-Raphson:} & \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{[j+1]} = \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{[j]} - \left[I - h \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}(t_n, \boldsymbol{y}_n)}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}}\right]^{-1} [\boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{[j]} - \boldsymbol{y}_n - h \boldsymbol{f}(t_{n+1}, \boldsymbol{y}_{n+1}^{[j]})];\\ \text{We will return to this topic later.} \end{array}$$

Remark 3.9 (The order of the theta method) It follows from (3.3) and Taylor's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{y}(t_{n+1}) - \boldsymbol{y}(t_n) &- h[\theta \boldsymbol{y}'(t_n) + (1-\theta) \boldsymbol{y}'(t_{n+1})] \\ &= [\boldsymbol{y}(t_n) + h \boldsymbol{y}'(t_n) + \frac{1}{2} h^2 \boldsymbol{y}''(t_n) + \frac{1}{6} h^3 \boldsymbol{y}'''(t_n)] - \boldsymbol{y}(t_n) - \theta h \boldsymbol{y}'(t_n) \\ &- (1-\theta) h[\boldsymbol{y}'(t_n) + h \boldsymbol{y}''(t_n) + \frac{1}{2} h^2 \boldsymbol{y}'''(t_n)] + \mathcal{O}(h^4) \\ &= (\theta - \frac{1}{2}) h^2 \boldsymbol{y}''(t_n) + (\frac{1}{2}\theta - \frac{1}{3}) h^3 \boldsymbol{y}'''(t_n) + \mathcal{O}(h^4) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the theta method is of order 1, except that the trapezoidal rule is of order 2.