Course C^{++}, Exercise List 6

Deadline: 21.04.2016

This eclectic exercise covers may topics at the same time: Usage of `std::list< >` and `std::vector< >`, file handling, use of namespaces, use of input parameters, and time measuring. Namespaces are a convenient way of avoiding name conflicts in big programs. Our program will be not so big, but we need to get used to using them.

Download the files **listtest.h**, **listtest.cpp**, **vectortest.h**, **vectortest.cpp**, **nr06.cpp** and the **Makefile** from the course homepage.

1. Complete the function

   ```
   std::vector< std::string >
   vectortest::readfile( std::istream& input )
   ```

   in file **vectortest.cpp**. This function must read all words from the inputfile and append them to vect. It should ignore whitespace and interpunction. Repeated whitespace and interpunction must not result in empty words. The function must never produce empty words. Whitespace can be recognized by `isspace( int )` and interpunction can be recognized by `ispunct( int )`.

   `input.good( )` means that the last operation on `input` succeeded. It does not hold a promise for the future.

   Function **readfile** can be called with `std::cin` and input redirection, or one declares `std::ifstream inp{ "filename-to-read-from" }` and uses `inp` as argument.

2. Complete the functions

   ```
   std::ostream&
   operator << std::ostream& , const std::vector< std::string > & );
   std::ostream&
   operator << std::ostream& , const std::list< std::string > & );
   ```

   in files **vectortest.cpp** and **listtest.cpp**. They are not in the namespace, because uniqueness is guaranteed by their type.

   The preferred way of implementing these functions is by using a range-for.

3. Add the following sorting functions to **vectortest.cpp**:

```
void vectortest::sort_assign( std::vector< std::string > & v )
{
   for( size_t j = 0; j < v. size( ); ++ j )
      for( size_t i = 0; i < j; ++ i )
      {
         if( v[i] > v[j] )
         {
            std::string s = v[i];
            v[i] = v[j];
            v[j] = s;
         }
      }
}

void vectortest::sort_move( std::vector< std::string > & v )
{
   for( size_t j = 0; j < v. size( ); ++ j )
   {
      for( size_t i = 0; i < j; ++ i )
      {
         if( v[i] > v[j] )
            std::swap( v[i], v[j] );
      }
   }
}

void vectortest::sort_std( std::vector< std::string > & v )
{
   std::sort( v. begin( ), v. end( ));
}
```

   The first sorting function exchanges strings by usual assignment. The second sorting function uses `std::swap`, which uses moving assignment. The third function calls `std::sort`, which uses quicksort.

4. Systematically measure the performance of these sorting functions using input that is big enough. Use compiler optimization `-O3 -flto`.

   The best way to measure performance, is by using function `randomstrings( nr, s )`, which creates a vector of `nr` random strings of length `s`. Use a reasonably big `s`, e.g. 50. Use a `nr`, that gives reasonable times, (a few seconds).

   Try to observe the following things:

   (a) Which sorting functions are $O(n^2)$, which are $O(n \cdot \log(n))$?

   (b) Among those with $O(n^2)$, which one is faster?

(c) Is there any difference between unoptimized compilation and optimized compilation?

5. Write the sorting functions that are declared in file **listtest.h**. Since `std::list` does not have indexing, you have to replace the indices by iterators. Unfortunately, `std::sort( )` cannot be used on `std::list`, because it requires random access.

   Write a function that converts vectors of strings to lists of strings.

6. Measure the performance of the two sorting functions on `std::list`. What are the complexities? Which one is faster?

7. Finally, compare sorting on `std::list` with sorting on `std::vector`. Which is the fastest of all your sorting algorithms?