

Recursion

Homework

Piotr Polesiuk

May 7, 2014

You have to prove that during evaluation of a program with equi-recursive types no stuck-terms will occur, i.e., you should prove the following theorem:

Theorem (Progress). *If $\emptyset \vdash e : \tau$ then either e is a value or there exists an expression e' such that $e \rightarrow e'$.*

There are two variants of the task: informal and formal. You have to pick one of them, solve it, and send the solution to `Piotr.Polesiuk@cs.uni.wroc.pl`. Deadline is June 10, 2014.

1. Informal variant

- Add equi-recursive types to the simply-typed ζ -calculus in the analogous way as they are defined in appendix A.
- Define a reduction semantics in call-by-value order.
- Give an informal proof of the progress theorem.
- Why is the *syntactic requirement* from appendix A important?

Hint Look at the hint for the formal variant.

2. Formal variant

Formalize the proof of the progress theorem for the calculus defined in appendix A in your favorite proof-assistant (preferred are: Coq and Agda). If you are using Coq, you may use definitions from the file `homework.v`.

Hint Two following lemmas would be useful:

Lemma. *If $\emptyset \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2$ then τ_1 has the form $\mu\alpha_1 \dots \mu\alpha_n. \tau'_1 \rightarrow \tau''_1$ iff τ_2 has the form $\mu\beta_1 \dots \mu\beta_m. \tau'_2 \rightarrow \tau''_2$.*

Lemma (Inversion). *If $\emptyset \vdash v : \mu\alpha_1 \dots \mu\alpha_n. \tau'_1 \rightarrow \tau''_1$ then the value v is a λ -abstraction.*

A. λ -calculus with recursive types and unit type

Syntax

$e ::= x \mid \lambda x.e \mid e e \mid 1$	(expressions)
$v ::= \lambda x.e \mid 1$	(values)
$\tau ::= \alpha \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau \mid \mathbb{1} \mid \mu\alpha.\tau$	(types)

Syntactic requirement: No type variable occurs directly under the μ construct, i.e., types of the form $\mu\alpha.\beta$ are forbidden.

Type-equating rules

$\frac{}{\Delta \vdash \tau \equiv \tau}$ (EQ-REFL)	$\frac{\Delta \vdash \tau_2 \equiv \tau_1}{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2}$ (EQ-SYMM)	$\frac{(\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2) \in \Delta}{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2}$ (EQ-AX)
$\frac{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \quad \Delta \vdash \tau'_1 \equiv \tau'_2}{\Delta \vdash \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau'_1 \equiv \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau'_2}$ (EQ-ARROW)	$\frac{\Delta, \mu\alpha.\tau \equiv \tau' \vdash \tau \{\alpha \leftarrow \mu\alpha.\tau\} \equiv \tau'}{\Delta \vdash \mu\alpha.\tau \equiv \tau'}$ (EQ-FIX)	

Typing rules

$\frac{(x : \tau) \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$ (T-VAR)	$\frac{\Gamma, x : \tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x.e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}$ (T-ABS)
$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_1}$ (T-APP)	$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash 1 : \mathbb{1}}$ (T-UNIT)
$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \quad \emptyset \vdash \tau_1 \equiv \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2}$ (T-CONV)	

Reduction semantics

$\frac{}{(\lambda x.e) v \rightarrow e \{x \leftarrow v\}}$ (E-BETA)	$\frac{e_1 \rightarrow e'_1}{e_1 e_2 \rightarrow e'_1 e_2}$ (E-FUNC)	$\frac{e \rightarrow e'}{v e \rightarrow v e'}$ (E-ARG)
--	--	---
