

Subtyping

Artur Jarocki

University of Wrocław

April 2, 2014

Subsumption

In object-oriented languages it is possible to emulate object that has fewer methods with another object, if the latter supports entire protocol of the former. This notion is called **subsumption**.

Judgement $E \vdash A <: B$ asserts that A is a subtype of B in environment E .

Judgement $E \vdash A < : B$ asserts that A is a subtype of B in environment E .

We also add a type constant Top , that is a supertype of every type.

Reflexivity, transitivity, subsumption

$$\text{(Sub Refl)} \\ \frac{E \vdash A}{E \vdash A <: A}$$

Reflexivity, transitivity, subsumption

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(Sub Refl)} \\ \hline E \vdash A \\ \hline E \vdash A <: A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(Sub Trans)} \\ \hline E \vdash A <: B \quad E \vdash B <: C \\ \hline E \vdash A <: C \end{array}$$

Reflexivity, transitivity, subsumption

$$\text{(Sub Refl)} \\ \frac{E \vdash A}{E \vdash A <: A}$$

$$\text{(Sub Trans)} \\ \frac{E \vdash A <: B \quad E \vdash B <: C}{E \vdash A <: C}$$

$$\text{(Val Subsumption)} \\ \frac{E \vdash a : A \quad E \vdash A <: B}{E \vdash a : B}$$

Top supertype

$$\text{(Type Top)}$$
$$\frac{E \vdash \diamond}{E \vdash \text{Top}}$$

Top supertype

$$\text{(Type Top)} \\ \frac{E \vdash \diamond}{E \vdash Top}$$

$$\text{(Sub Top)} \\ \frac{E \vdash A}{E \vdash A <: Top}$$



$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(Sub Arrow)} \\ \frac{E \vdash A' < : A \quad E \vdash B < : B'}{E \vdash A \rightarrow B < : A' \rightarrow B'} \end{array}$$

$\triangleleft : Ob$

$$\frac{\text{(Sub Object) } (I_i \text{ distinct}) \\ E \vdash B_i \forall i \in 1..n+m}{E \vdash [I_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n+m}] \triangleleft : [I_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n}]}$$

Incomplete type

We denote $A\{\bullet\}$ for an **incomplete type** with zero or more subexpressions missing represented as holes $\{\bullet\}$. Then $A\{B\}$ is a type obtained from A by filling all the holes with B .

$A\{\bullet\}$ is *covariant* if for all B, B' $B < : B'$ implies $A\{B\} < : A\{B'\}$.

$A\{\bullet\}$ is *covariant* if for all B, B' $B < B'$ implies $A\{B\} < A\{B'\}$.
 $A\{\bullet\}$ is *contravariant* if for all B, B' $B < B'$ implies
 $A\{B'\} < A\{B\}$.

$A\{\bullet\}$ is *covariant* if for all B, B' $B < : B'$ implies $A\{B\} < : A\{B'\}$.

$A\{\bullet\}$ is *contravariant* if for all B, B' $B < : B'$ implies
 $A\{B'\} < : A\{B\}$.

$A\{\bullet\}$ is *invariant* if it is neither covariant or contravariant.

$$Ob_{I<} \triangleq Ob_I \cup \Delta_{<} \cup \Delta_{<:Ob}$$

$$Ob_{I<} \triangleq Ob_I \cup \Delta_{<} \cup \Delta_{<:Ob}$$
$$F_{I<} \triangleq F_I \cup \Delta_{<:\rightarrow} \cup \Delta_{<:\rightarrow}$$

$$Ob_{\Delta<} \triangleq Ob_I \cup \Delta_{<} \cup \Delta_{<:Ob}$$

$$F_{\Delta<} \triangleq F_I \cup \Delta_{<} \cup \Delta_{<:\rightarrow}$$

$$FOb_{\Delta<} \triangleq FOb_I \cup \Delta_{<} \cup \Delta_{<:\rightarrow} \cup \Delta_{<:Ob}$$

Example

RomCell \triangleq [*get* : *Nat*]

PromCell \triangleq [*get* : *Nat*, *set* : *Nat* \rightarrow *RomCell*]

PrivateCell \triangleq [*contents* : *Nat*, *get* : *Nat*, *set* : *Nat* \rightarrow *RomCell*]

Example

$RomCell \triangleq [get : Nat]$

$PromCell \triangleq [get : Nat, set : Nat \rightarrow RomCell]$

$PrivateCell \triangleq [contents : Nat, get : Nat, set : Nat \rightarrow RomCell]$

$myCell : PromCell \triangleq$

$[contents = 0,$

$get = \zeta(s : PrivateCell)s.contents,$

$set = \zeta(s : PrivateCell)\lambda(n : Nat)s.contents := n]$

Minimum types

By adding subsumption we have lost unique-types property of Ob_I .
However, $Ob_{I<}$ has a weaker property: every term has a minimum type (if it has any type at all).

*MinOb*_{1<}: rules

$$\frac{\text{(Val Min Object) (where } A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n}] \text{)} \\ E, x_i : A \vdash b_i : B'_i \quad \emptyset \vdash B'_i <: B_i \quad \forall i \in 1..n}{E \vdash [l_i = \varsigma(x_i : A)b_i^{i \in 1..n}] : A}$$

$MinOb_{<}$ rules

$$\frac{\text{(Val Min Object) (where } A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n}] \text{)} \\ E, x_i : A \vdash b_i : B'_i \quad \emptyset \vdash B'_i <: B_i \quad \forall i \in 1..n}{E \vdash [l_i = \varsigma(x_i : A)b_i^{i \in 1..n}] : A}$$

$$\frac{\text{(Val Min Update) (where } A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n}] \text{)} \\ E \vdash a : A' \quad \emptyset \vdash A' <: A \quad E, x : A \vdash b : B'_j \quad \emptyset \vdash B'_j <: B_j \quad j \in 1..n}{E \vdash a.l_j \Leftarrow \varsigma(x : A)b : A}$$

Lemma

$MinOb_{I<}$: **typings are $Ob_{I<}$: typings**

If $E \vdash a : A$ is derivable in $MinOb_{I<}$, then it is also derivable in $Ob_{I<}$.

Lemma

$MinOb_{I<}$: **typings are $Ob_{I<}$: typings**

If $E \vdash a : A$ is derivable in $MinOb_{I<}$, then it is also derivable in $Ob_{I<}$.

Lemma

$MinOb_{I<}$: **has unique types**

If $E \vdash a : A$ and $E \vdash a : A'$ are derivable in $MinOb_{I<}$, then $A \equiv A'$.

Lemma

$MinOb_{I<}$: **typings are $Ob_{I<}$: typings**

If $E \vdash a : A$ is derivable in $MinOb_{I<}$, then it is also derivable in $Ob_{I<}$.

Lemma

$MinOb_{I<}$: **has unique types**

If $E \vdash a : A$ and $E \vdash a : A'$ are derivable in $MinOb_{I<}$, then $A \equiv A'$.

Lemma

$MinOb_{I<}$: **has smaller types than $Ob_{I<}$:**

If $E \vdash a : A$ is derivable in $Ob_{I<}$, then $E \vdash a : A'$ is derivable in $MinOb_{I<}$ for some A' such that $E \vdash A' <: A$ is derivable (in either system).

$Ob_{I<}$: has minimum types

Theorem

In $Ob_{I<}$, if $E \vdash a : A$ then there exists B such that $E \vdash a : B$ and, for any A' , if $E \vdash a : A'$ then $E \vdash B <: A'$.

Lemma

Bound weakening

If $E, x : D, E' \vdash J$ and $E \vdash D' <: D$, then $E, x : D', E' \vdash J$.

Lemma

Substitution

If $E, x : D, E' \vdash J\{x\}$ and $E \vdash d : D$, then $E, E' \vdash J\{d\}$.

subject reduction theorem for $Ob_{I<}$:

Theorem

Let c be a closed term and v be a result, and assume $\vdash c \rightsquigarrow v$. If $\emptyset \vdash c : C$, then $\emptyset \vdash v : C$.

$\Delta = <:$

(Eq Subsumption)

$$\frac{E \vdash a \leftrightarrow a' : A \quad E \vdash A <: B}{E \vdash a \leftrightarrow a' : B}$$

(Eq Top)

$$\frac{E \vdash a : A \quad E \vdash b : B}{E \vdash a \leftrightarrow b : Top}$$

$\Delta = < : Ob$

$$\frac{\text{(Eq Sub Object) (where } A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n}], A' \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n+m}]) \\ E, x_i : A \vdash b_i : B' \quad \forall i \in 1..n \quad E, x_j : A' \vdash b_j : B_j \quad \forall j \in n+1..n+m}{E \vdash [l_i = \varsigma(x_i : A)b_i^{i \in 1..n} \leftrightarrow [l_i = \varsigma(x_i : A')b_i^{i \in 1..n+m}] : A}$$

$\Delta_{= < : Ob}$

$$\text{(Eq Sub Object) (where } A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n}], A' \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n+m}] \text{)}$$

$$\frac{E, x_i : A \vdash b_i : B' \quad \forall i \in 1..n \quad E, x_j : A' \vdash b_j : B_j \quad \forall j \in n+1..n+m}{E \vdash [l_i = \varsigma(x_i : A)b_i^{i \in 1..n} \leftrightarrow [l_i = \varsigma(x_i : A')b_i^{i \in 1..n+m}] : A}$$

$$\text{(Eval Select) (where } A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n}], a \equiv [l_i = \varsigma(x_i : A')b_i\{x_i\}^{i \in 1..n+m}] \text{)}$$

$$\frac{E \vdash a : A \quad j \in 1..n}{E \vdash a.l_j \leftrightarrow b_j\{a\} : B_j}$$

$\Delta_{= < : Ob}$

$$\frac{\text{(Eq Sub Object) (where } A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n}], A' \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n+m}] \\ E, x_i : A \vdash b_i : B' \quad \forall i \in 1..n \quad E, x_j : A' \vdash b_j : B_j \quad \forall j \in n+1..n+m}{E \vdash [l_i = \varsigma(x_i : A)b_i^{i \in 1..n} \leftrightarrow [l_i = \varsigma(x_i : A')b_i^{i \in 1..n+m}] : A}$$

$$\frac{\text{(Eval Select) (where } A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n}], a \equiv [l_i = \varsigma(x_i : A')b_i\{x_i\}^{i \in 1..n+m}] \\ E \vdash a : A \quad j \in 1..n}{E \vdash a.l_j \leftrightarrow b_j\{a\} : B_j}$$

$$\frac{\text{(Eval Update) (where } A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n}], a \equiv [l_i = \varsigma(x_i : A')b_i\{x_i\}^{i \in 1..n+m}] \\ E \vdash a : A \quad E, x : A \vdash b : B_j \quad j \in 1..n}{E \vdash a.l_j \Leftarrow \varsigma(x : A)b \leftrightarrow [l_j = \varsigma(x : A')b, l_i = \varsigma(x_i : A')b_i^{i \in (1..n+m)-j}] : A}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A &\triangleq [x : \text{Nat}, f : \text{Nat}] \\ b : A &\triangleq [x = 1, f = \zeta(s : A)l] \\ c : A &\triangleq [x = 1, f = \zeta(s : A)s.x] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A &\triangleq [x : \text{Nat}, f : \text{Nat}] \\ b : A &\triangleq [x = 1, f = \zeta(s : A)l] \\ c : A &\triangleq [x = 1, f = \zeta(s : A)s.x] \end{aligned}$$

Terms b and c cannot be equal at type A , but using (Eq Sub Object) it is now at least possible to show that b and c are equal at type $[x:\text{Nat}]$.

If $A \equiv [l_i : B_i^{i \in 1..n}]$ is an object type, then:

$$\text{Class}(A) \triangleq [\text{new} : A, l_i : A \rightarrow B_i^{i \in 1..n}]$$

is the type of classes generating objects of type A . They are of form:

$$[\text{new} = \varsigma(z : \text{Class}(A))[L_i = \varsigma(s : A)z.l_i(s)^{i \in 1..n}], l_i = \lambda(s : A)b_i^{i \in 1..n}]$$

We want to say a class of type $Class(A')$ that has more pre-methods (may) inherit from a class of type $Class(A)$ with fewer pre-methods.

We want to say a class of type $Class(A')$ that has more pre-methods (may) inherit from a class of type $Class(A)$ with fewer pre-methods.

However, inheritance is not simply related to subtyping of class types, since $A' <: A$ implies neither $Class(A') <: Class(A)$ nor $Class(A) <: Class(A')$, because A and A' occur invariantly in $Class(A)$ and $Class(A')$.

When A and A' are object types, we set:
 $Class(A')$ may inherit from $Class(A)$ iff $A' <: A$

When A and A' are object types, we set:

$Class(A')$ may inherit from $Class(A)$ iff $A' <: A$

If $Class(A')$ may inherit from $Class(A)$, then they are of forms $A' \equiv [l_i B_i^{i \in 1..n+m}]$ and $A \equiv [l_i B_i^{i \in 1..n}]$. Thus $A \rightarrow B_i <: A' \rightarrow B_i$ for $i \in 1..n$, because of contravariance of function types. Therefore pre-methods of a class $c : Class(A)$ of type $A \rightarrow B_i$ by subsumption may be reused in assembling class $c' : Class(A')$.